Cathodic Reduction of Oxygen and Hydrogen Peroxide at Cobalt and Iron Crowned Phthalocyanines Adsorbed on Highly Oriented Pyrolytic Graphite Electrodes

Nagao Kobayashi,^{*,1} Pavel Janda,*^{,2} and A. B. P. Lever'

Department of Chemistry, York University, **4700** Keele Street, North York (Toronto), Ontario, Canada M3J 1P3

Received September *26, 1991*

The surface electrochemistry of iron and cobalt crowned phthalocyanine ($Fe^{II}CRPc(-2)$ and $Co^{II}CRPc(-2)$) adsorbed on a highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) electrode has been explored under an argon atmosphere. The redox processes of surface bound CoI1CRPc(-2)/ [Co1CRPc(-2)]-, **[Fe111CRPc(-2)]+/Fe11CRPc(-2),** and FeII- $CRPc(-2)/[Fe¹CRPc(-2)]$ - couples were identified and their pH dependence reported in the range 1–13. Under an oxygen atmosphere at the Co¹¹CRPc(-2)/HOPG electrode, two reduction processes, oxygen to hydrogen peroxide and hydrogen peroxide to water, were clearly delineated and their pH dependences explored. Surface bound Fe"- CRPc(-2) catalyzes the four-electron reduction of oxygen in alkaline medium. The Co11CRPc(-2)/HOPG electrode is very stable both in the presence and absence of oxygen, but the Fe^{II}CRPc(-2)/HOPG electrode is much less stable when electrocatalytic oxygen reduction takes place **on** its surface.

Introduction

There has been significant progress in our understanding of the electrocatalytic reduction of oxygen in the presence of organometallic catalysts.34 **In** many cases, oxygen reduction has been carried out at catalyst-adsorbed or -modified electrodes. When monomeric cobalt porphyrins and phthalocyanines are used as catalysts, oxygen reduction usually proceeds only to hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) ,^{3,4} however the four-electron reduction of oxygen to water catalyzed by a monomeric cobalt phthalocyanine' and by a cyano-bridged cobalt phthalocyanine⁸ has recently been reported. Some cobalt derivatives of cofacial diporphyrin are known to catalyze the reduction of oxygen to water.' Moreover,

- (2) Visiting scholar from the J. Heyrovsky Institute of Physical Chemistry and Electrochemistry, Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Praha, Czechoslovakia.
- (3) (a) Jahnke, H.; Schonborn, M.; Zimmerman,G. Top. *Curr. Chem.* 1976, 61, 133. (b) Tarasevich, **M.** R.; Radyushkina, K. A. *Russ. J. Chem. (Engl. Transl.)* 1980,49,1498. (c) Van den Brink, F.; Barendrecht, E.; Visscher, W. *Recl. J. R. Neth. Chem.* **1980**, 99, 253. (d) Van Veen, J.
A. R.; Van Baar, J. F. *Rev. Inorg. Chem.* **1982**, 4, 293. (e) Schiffrin,
D. J. *Electrochemistry* **1983**, 8, 126. (f) Yeager, B. *Electrochim. Acta* 1984, 29, 1527; *J. Mol. Catal.* 1986, 38, *5.* (8) Elzing, A,; Van Der Putten, A.; Visscher, W.; Barendrecht, E. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 1986, 200,3 13. (h) Van Der Putten, A.; Elzing, A.; Visscher, W.; Barendrecht, E. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 1987,221,95. (i) Van den Brink, F.; Visscher, W.; Barendrecht, E. J. *Electroanal. Chem.* 1983, 157, 305.
- (4) Kobayashi, N.; Nishiyama, Y. *J. Phys. Chem.* 1985,89,1167 and many references therein.
- *(5)* (a) Collman, J. P.; Marrocco. M.; Denisevich, P.; Koval, C.; Anson, F. C. J. *Electroanal. Chem.* 1979,101,117; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1980,102, 6027. **(b)** Chang, C. K.; Abdalmuhdi, I. *J. Am. Chem.* **Soc.** 1984,106, 2725. (c) Durand, R. R.; Bencosme, C. **S.;** Collman, J. P.; Anson, F. C. J. *Am. Chem. SOC.* **1983,** *105,* 2710. (6) (a) Kobayashi, N.; Fujihira, M.; Sunakawa, K.; Osa, T. *J. Electroanal.*
- *Chem.* 1979, *103,* 427. (b) Kuwana, T.; Bettelheim, A. *Anal. Chem.* 1979, 51, 2257. (c) Kuwana, T.; Chan, R. J.; Bettelheim, A. J.
Electroanal. Chem. 1980, 110, 93. (d) Kuwana, T.; Forshey, P. A.
Inorg. Chem. 1983, 22, 699. (e) Kuwana, T.; Forshey, P. A.; Kobayashi,
N.; Osa, T. Adv. Chem.
- Zagal, J.; Sen, R. K.; Yeager, E. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1977, 83, 207.
(7) Kobayashi, N.; Sudo, K.; Osa, T. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1990, 63, 571.
(8) Ikeda, O.; Itoh, S. Y.; Yoneyama, H. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1988, 61,
- 1428.

iron porphyrins and phthalocyanines will catalyze the four-electron reduction of oxygen, in alkaline medium. However, the factors responsible for activating a four-electron rather than a twoelectron pathway remain obscure. Oxygen reduction characteristics are influenced by various extrinsic factors such as, for example, differences in adsorption procedure and surface coverage by catalyst and even by changes of ionic strength of the experimental solution.6k Many catalysts are degraded by contact with hydrogen peroxide such that successive potential scans of a given modified electrode surface are not fully reproducible, necessitating a large number of studies with fresh surfaces. It is difficult to ensure that all such fresh surfaces are identical further complicating the study.

Recently, we reported the synthesis and properties of phthalocyanines with four 15-crown-5 ether voids at the 3,4 position,⁹ $MCrPc(-2)^{10}$ (M = Co, Fe, Cu, H₂). In the course of purification, we noted that these phthalocyanines adsorbed onto silica gel and powdered charcoal very strongly. Also, they exhibited ideal spectroscopic (UV, MCD, ESR, NMR, emission, etc.) behavior.⁹ The cobalt and iron derivatives (Figure 1) have therefore been studied as electrocatalysts for oxygen reduction and are shown here to have many desirable features; in particular, they (Fe, Co) can be used for preparation of stable electrodes with modified surfaces whose electrochemical properties are sufficiently reproducible for multiple-scan voltammetry. It is not necessary to constantly renew the surface, at least under argon. The iron derivative, under oxygen, is less stable. The cobalt species is more stable but shows some deactivation over time when deposited **on** the RDE while electrocatalytic oxygen reduction takes place.

During the progress of our studies, there has evidently been great interest in the properties of both crowned phthalocyanines¹¹ and crowned porphyrins,¹² but the properties of these with respect to oxygen electrocatalysis have not been explored.

 $Co^HCRPc(-2)$ adsorbed onto highly oriented pyrolytic graphite electrodes (HOPG)¹³ is shown to catalyze the commonly observed two-electron reduction of oxygen to hydrogen peroxide. This is

⁽¹) Visiting professor from the Pharmaceutical Institute, Tohoku University, Sendai 980, Japan.

^{(9) (}a) Kobayashi, N.; Nishiyama, Y. J. *Chem.Soc., Chem. Commun.* 1986, 1462. (b) Kobayashi, N.; Lever, A. B. P. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* 1987,109, 7433. (c) Gasyna, Z.; Kobayashi, N.; Stillman, M. J. *J. Chem.* **Soc.,** *Dalton Trans.* 1989, 2397.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Abbreviations: FeCRPc(-2), tetra(15-crown-5)ed (phtha1ocyaninato) iron; CoCRPc(-2), tetra(15-crown-5)ed (phthalocyaninato)cobalt; HOPG, highly oriented pyrrolytic graphite; CV, cyclovoltammetric; RDE, rotating disk electrode; SCE, saturated calomel electrode; DCB, dichlorobenzene, *i,* current.

Figure 1. Cobalt and iron crown phthalocyanines.

followed at a significantly more negative potential by the further reduction of hydrogen peroxide to water. While such a doublestep reduction has been observed previously, $3g,6g,13-16$ the very clean separation observed here between these two processes affords us the rare opportunity of a more detailed study of the pH dependence.

Electrocatalytic data for FeI1CRPc(-2) adsorbed onto a HOPG electrode are also described. As with other iron phthalocyanines, $Fe^{11}CRPc(-2)$ catalyzes the four-electron reduction to water at alkaline pH. Interestingly, the pH dependence for oxygen reduction at the Fe^{II}CRpc(-2)-adsorbed electrode is quite similar to that of hydrogen peroxide reduction at the Co^{II}CRPc(-2)/ HOPG electrode. However the data are not of such highly quality as with $Co^{11}CRPc(-2)/HOPG$ due to decomposition of $Fe^{11}CRPc (-2)$.

- Gurek, A,; Ahsen, V.; Gul, A.; Bekaroglu, 0. *J. Chem. SOC., Dalton Trans.* **1991,3367.** Okur, A. **I.;** Gul, A.; Cihan, A.; Tan, N.; Bekaroglu, 0. *Synrh. React. Inorg. Met.-Org. Chem.* **1990,20, 1399.** Kobayashi, N.;Opallo, M.;Osa,T. *Heterocycles* **1990,30,389.** Ahsen,V.;Yilmazer, E.; Ertas, M.; Bekaroglu, O. *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Dalton Trans.* **1988**, 401. Ahin, **V.;** Yilmazer,-E.; Bekaroglu, *0.;* Gul, A. *Makromol. Chem.* **1987,8,243.** Ahsen, V.; Yilmazer, **E.;** Gul, A.; Bekaroglu, 0. *J. Chem. Res. (S)* **1988,234.** Ahsen, V.;Yilmazer, **E.;** Bekaroglu, 0. *Makromol. Chem.* **1988,189,2533. Ahsen,V.;Gurek,A.;Musluoglu,E.;Bekaroglu,** *0.;* Kocaeli, T. *Chem.* Ber. **1989, 122, 1073.** Ahsen, V.; Yilmazer, E.; Gurek, A.; Gul, A.; Bekaroglu, O.; Gebze, T. Helv. Chim. Acta 1988, 71, 1616. Anzai, J. I.; Liu, C. C. Polym. Commun. 1990, 31, 223. Bardin, M.; Bertounesque, E.; Plichon, V.; Simon, J.; Ahsen, V.; Bekaroglu, O. J. Electro 271, 173. Sielcken, Ot E.; van Tilborg, M. M.; Roks, M. F. M.; Hendriks, R.; Drenth, W.; Nolte, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 4261.
Sielcken, Ot E.; Schram, J.; Nolte, R. J. M.; Schoonman, J.; Drenth,
W. Chem. Commun. Drenth, W.; Nolte, R. J. M. *Chem. Commun.* **1988, 1232.** Sielcken, Ot E.; Drenth, W.; Nolte, R. J. M. *Red. Trau. Chim. Pays-Bas* **1990,109,** 215. Sielcken, O. E.; Vandekuil, L. A.; Drenth, W.; Schoonman, J.; Nolte, R. J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 3086. Sielcken, O. E.; Van Lindert, H. C. A.; Drenth, W.; Schoonman, J.; Nolte, R. J. M. *Ber.* Bunsen-Ges. *Phys. Chem.* **1989,93,702.** Sielcken, Ot E.; Van de Kuil, L. A,; Drenth, W.; Nolte, R. J. M. J. *Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.* **1988,1232.** Koray, **A.** R.; Ahsen, V.; Bekaroglu, 0. J. *Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.* **1986,932.** Sirlin, C.; Bosio, L.;Simon, J.; Ahsen, V.; Yilmazer, **E.;** Bekaroglu, 0. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1987, 139, 362.**
- Gunter,M. J.;Johnston,M.R. *TetrahedronLett.* 1990,32,480l.Zhilina, Z. I.; Mel'nik, V. I.; Andronati, S. A. *Ukr. Khim. Zh.* 1989, 55, 1211.
Dasgupta, D.; Thanabal, V.; Krishnan, V*. Biochem. Biophys. Res.*
Commun. 1982, 104, 1427. Thanabal, V.; Krishnan, V. Inorg. Chem.
1982, 21, 3606. Thanabal, V.; Krishnan, V. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1982, 104,3643.** Van Willigen, H.; Chandrashekar, T. K. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1986,108,709.** Xia, *S.;* Xiao, N.; Niu, C.; Huang, S. *Wuhan Daxue Xuebao, Ziran Kexueban* **1984. 3, 77.** Kobayashi, N.; Osa, T. *Heterocycles* **1981,** *J59* **675.** Richardson, N. M.; Sutherland, I. 0.; Camilleri, P.; Page, J. **A.** *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985, 26, 3739.**
- Janda, P.; Kobayashi, N.; Auburn, P. R.; Lam, H.; Leznoff, C. C.; Lever, A. B. P. *Can. J. Chem.* **1989, 67, 1109**
- Van der Putten, A,; Elzing, A.; Visscher, W.; Barendrecht, E. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **1986, 214, 523.**
- Wan, G. **X.;** Shigehara, K.; Tsuchida, E.; Anson, F. C. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **1984, 179. 239.**
- (16) Behret, H.; Clauberg, W.; Sandstede, **G.** *Ber.* Bunsen-Ges. *Phys. Chem.* **1979, 83, 139.**

Figure 2. CV diagrams of (A) Co1ICRPc(-2)/HOPG at pH **3.0** and (B) Fe11CRPe(-2)/HOPG at pH **0.9.** Dotted lines indicate CV response at a bare HOPG surface. Scan speed = 100 mV/s .

Experimental Section

Materials. Argon gas (Linde) was purified by passage through heated copper filings, anhydrous Cas04 (Drierite), molecular sieves (Bolf, Type **3A),** and glass wool. Oxygen gas (Linde) was purified by passage through anhydrous CaS04, NaOH pellets (AnalaR analytical grade), anhydrous CaS04, molecular sieves, and glass wool.

The cobalt crown phthalocyanine $Co^HCRPc(-2)$ was prepared according to a literature procedure.^{9a,b} The iron crown phthalocyanine $Fe^{II}CRPC(-2)$ was prepared and purified in a similar fashion,^{8b} from **2,3-(3',4'-dicyanobenzo)-l,4,7,10,13-pentaoxycyclopentadeca-2-ene** and FeCl₂ in refluxing ethylene glycol. Anal. Calcd for $C_{64}H_{72}FeN_8O_{20}$: C, **57.83;** H, **5.46;** N, **8.43.** Found: C, **57.59;** H, **5.40;** N, **8.38.**

Electrochemical Measurements. These were undertaken using equip ment (Pine 4-electrode potentiostat, Princeton Applied Research Corp. PARC potentiostat) and techniques as previously described.¹⁷ The electrode was mounted in Teflon to expose a circular area of **0.440** cm2. Prior to adsorption of the catalyst, the HOPG (Union Carbide) surface was renewed using adhesive Scotch tape. A freshly exposed HOPG surface was modified by dipping and rotating it in **0.1** mM Fel1CRPc(-2) or $Co^HCRPc(-2)$ in DCB/ethanol (19:1 v/v) solution for about 5-10 min. The electrode was then washed with ethanol and doubly distilled water. Current-potential $(i-E)$ curves were recorded in aqueous 0.1 M phosphate buffer (prepared from a 0.1 M solution of $Na₂HPO₄$ and $KH₂PO₄$), and the pH was adjusted by addition of small amounts of KOH and H_3PO_4 (AnalaR, BDH chemicals) as required. Measurements at pH **1-2** were carried out in dilute H_2SO_4 (Suprapur, Merck).

Results and Discussion

(i) Studies under an Argon Atmosphere, in the Absence of Oxygen. (a) Voltammetric Response of Co^{II}CRPc(-2)/HOPG. Figure 2A shows cyclic voltammetric (CV) potentiodynamic waves obtained at bare HOPG and $Co^{II}CRPc(-2)/HOPG$ electrodes, with data collected in Table I. An anodic and a cathodic peak of practically equal area appeared (solid line), with peak currents (i_p) directly proportional to scan rate, typical of a Faradaic process involving an adsorbed species. Assuming that the surface redox couple obeys the Nernst equation, the number of electrons participating per adsorbed molecule (also estimated from the slope of the i_p versus scan rate plot) is 0.972 ± 0.002 ,¹⁸ i.e. a one-electron redox process. The charge under this peak is then **4.1** pC and corresponds to a surface concentration of **4.2 X** 10-11 mol/cm2. From the Corey-Pauling-Koltun molecular model, the length of one side of $Co^HCRPc(-2)$ is about 20 Å. Using the above surface concentration and assuming that $Co^HCRPc(-2)$ lies flat **on** the electrode, the calculated coverage corresponds to almost exactly one monolayer. This limiting coverage of adsorbed $Co^HCRPc(-2)$ was independent of the concentration of $Co^HCRPc (-2)$ in the DCB used during adsorption. The Co^{II}CRPc (-2) /

⁽¹⁷⁾ Kobayashi, N.; Lam, H.; Nevin, W. A.; Janda, P.; Leznoff, C. C.; Lever,

⁽¹⁸⁾ Bard, **A.** J.; Faulkner, L. R. *Electrochemical Methods;* John Wiley: **A.** B. P. *Inorg. Chem.* **1990, 29, 3415.** New York, **1980.**

Table I. Redox Potentials (V) for the CoPc Oxygen and Hydrogen Peroxide Redox Processes vs SCEa,b

рH	$CoHPc(-2)$ / $[Co1Pc(-2)]^{-}$ $E_{1/2}$ CRPc	$[CoIPc(-2)]^{-}/$ $[Co^{1}Pc(-3)]^{2-}$ $E_{\rm 1/2}$ TsPc	pН	O_2/H_2O_2 E, CRPc	H_2O_2/H_2O $E_{\rm p}$ CRPc
			1.0	-0.29	
1.0	-0.34				
1.6	-0.38	-0.48	1.6	-0.30	-0.80
2.1	-0.42	-0.51	2.0	-0.29	-0.84
3.0	-0.46	-0.57	2.1	-0.32	-0.84
4.0	-0.54	-0.64	3.0	-0.35	-0.94
5.0	-0.59		4.0	-0.38	-1.06
5.7	-0.62		5.0	-0.37	-1.06
6.0	-0.60		5.7	-0.35	-1.08
7.0	-0.60	-1.04	6.0	-0.36	-1.15
8.1	-0.60	-1.10	7.0	-0.37	-1.15
9.0	-0.60	-1.15	8.1	-0.32	-1.34
10.0	-0.60	-1.21	9.0	-0.32	-1.39
11.0	-0.59	-1.26	10.0	-0.33	
12.0	-0.62	-1.32	11.0	-0.33	-1.40
13.0	-0.62	-1.37	13.0	-0.37	

All data are from cyclic voltammetry. Averages of the anodic and cathodic waves of the reversible metal-centered couples are quoted. The irreversible cathodic peak potential is quoted for the oxygen reduction processes. ^b Additional data: A second Co^{II}CRPc(-2) reduction potential was observed at -0.47 V for pH = 1. The oxidation process forming $[Co^[1]CRPc(-2)]$ ⁺ was observed at 0.67, 0.52, and 0.28 V at pH 1, 7, and 10, respectively.

Figure 3. pH dependencies of (A) oxygen reduction potentials at Co^{II}- $CRPc(-2)/HOPG$, $(B) Co^HCRPc(-2)/[Co^HCRPc(-2)]$ -coupleat HOPG, (C) $[Co^{1}TsPc(-2)]^{-}/[Co^{1}TsPc(-3)]^{2-}$ couple at an ordinary pyrolytic graphite (OPG) electrode, and (D) hydrogen peroxide reduction at Co¹¹-CRPc(-2)/HOPG.

HOPG electrode was stable after several hundreds cycles in the potential range, shown in Figure 2, in the whole pH range and was not affected by rotation of the electrode.

The pH dependence of the redox potential calculated as a mean value of anodic and cathodic peak potentials is shown in Figure 3B and Tables **I** and 11. High regression coefficients provide some confidence for the accuracies of the slopes and intercepts. In the range pH 5-1.6, the potential shifts to a more negative direction with increasing pH with slope of about -63 mV/pH, suggesting participation of one proton. Above pH 5, it becomes essentially pH-independent. The potential values, and their dependence **on** pH, are fully comparable to earlier studies with $Co¹¹TNPC(-2)(TNPC(-2) = tetrane operatoryphthalocyanine)¹³$ and $Co^HTsPc(-2)(TsPc(-2) = tetrasulfonylphthalocyanine),^{3h,19}$ and the wave in question undoubtedly arises from the Co^I/Co^I couple.

During our variable-pH study, some experiments revealed a wave at more positive potentials (as noted in Table I). This was generally poorly developed. It is almost certainly the [Co^{III}- $CRPc(-2)]^{+}/Co^HCRPc(-2)$ wave, but its identification was not pursued.

In our studies with cobalt tetrasulfonated phthalocyanine, 19 ColITsPc(-2)/HOPG, a second reduction process was observed occurring negative of the $Co^HTsPc(-2)/[Co^HTsPc(-2)]$ ⁻ couple, namely the $[Co^TS_{Pc(-2)}]^-/[Co^TS_{Pc(-3)}]^2$ process. This is observed relatively close to the former process in acid medium, but its different pH dependence causes it to be separated therefrom in basic medium. The pH dependence data for the latter process are reproduced in Figure 3C since they are relevant to the discussion below. In the Co¹¹CRPc(-2) case, this second reduction process was detected in strong acid medium (see Table I). However, $Co^HCRPc(-2)$ catalyzes proton (water) reduction, and the solvent cutoff through this process obscures this second reduction step for all solutions except those with pH near 1.

For completion note that a $Co^HCRPc(-2)/HOPG$ electrode studied in DCB/TBAP solution showed a cyclic voltammogram, using a RDE electrode, with surface-bound couples appearing at -0.53 V (vs SCE) attributable to the couple Co¹¹CRPc(-2)/ $[Co¹CRPc(-2)]$ and at +0.32 and +0.46 V attributable to the couples²⁰ [Co¹¹CRPc(-1)]⁺/Co¹¹CRPc(-2) and [Co¹¹¹CRPc- $(-1)]^{2+}/[Co¹¹CRPc(-1)]^{+}$, respectively.

(b) Voltammetric Response of Fe^{II}CRPc(-2)/HOPG. In oxygen-free solution, a Fe^{II}CRPc(-2)/HOPG electrode shows two redox couples (Figure 2B) in the potential range from ca. +0.2 to -0.4 V, with pH-dependence as shown in Figure 4A. From comparison with literature data on pH dependence,^{6e,19,21} the more positive potential couple is assigned to [FeII'CRPc- $(-2)]^{+}/Fe^{11}CRPc(-2)$ and the less, to $Fe^{11}CRPc(-2)/[Fe^{1}-(2)]$ CRPc(-2)]⁻. Although the [Fe^{III}CRPc(-2)]⁺/Fe^{II}CRPc(-2) couple looks sharper than that of $Co^HCRPc(-2)/[Co^ICRPc(-2)]⁻in$ Figure 2A, the actual surface concentration of $Fe^{II}CRPc(-2)$ $(4.8 \times 10^{-11} \text{ mol/cm}^2)$ estimated from the charge involved in the process (4.0 μ C/cm²) is approximately the same as that of Co¹¹-CRPc(-2), i.e. a monolayer. Regression data are collected in Table 11.

At pH above **7,** it was not possible to observe the Fell- $CRPc(-2)/[Fe¹CRPc(-2)]$ wave due to solvent limit (proton reduction). It is clearly observed¹⁹ with $Fe^{II}TsPc(-2)$, which must evidently be less effective in catalyzing proton reduction.

(c) Studies Using Hydrogen Peroxide and Co11CRPc(-2)/ HOPG. Cyclic voltammetric studies were briefly undertaken, of the reduction of oxygen-free, argon-saturated H_2O_2 at a bare HOPG electrode and at a Co^{II}CRPc(-2)/HOPG electrode, for comparison with the oxygen reduction data below. The bare electrode shows rising reduction current at -1.0 V, at pH 3, while the $Co^HCRPc/HOPG$ electrode shows a reduction peak at -0.88 V corresponding almost exactly with the second oxygen reduction process described below.

(ii) Studies in Oxygen-Saturated Buffer Solutions. (a) Voltammetric Responseof Cd'CRPc(-2)/HOPG. Figure 5A displays the CV curves for the reduction of **02.** Two cathodic peaks were recognized before the current due to H₂ evolution obscured further processes. The first is due to the reduction of oxygen to H_2O_2 , and the second, to the reduction of the H_2O_2 so produced. The second peak corresponds with that observed when hydrogen peroxide is studied alone (see immediately above) and increases in current if hydrogen peroxide is added to the oxygenated solution. The second cathodic peak potential permits clear definition of the pH dependence of both peak potentials (vide infra).

(21) Durand, R. R.; **Anson, F.** C. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **1982,** *134,* 273.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Zecevic, **S.;** Simic-Glavaski, B.; Yeager, E.; Lever, **A.** B. **P.;** Minor, P. C. *J. Elecrroanal. Chem.* **1985,** *196,* 339.

⁽²⁰⁾ Nevin, W. **A,;** Hempstead, **M.** R.; Liu, **W.;** Leznoff, C. C.; Lever, **A.** B. P. *Inorg. Chem.* **1987,** *26, 570.*

Table 11. Regression Data for pH Dependence (Data in V vs SCE)

redox process	pH range	slope ^a	intercept ^a	R	no. ^a
$CoHCRPc(-2)/[CoICRPc(-2)]-$	$1.6 - 5$ $5 - 13$	$-0.063(0.002)$ 0 ^b	$-0.28(0.008)$	0.997	6
$Co^{1}TsPc(-2)]^{-}/[Co^{1}TsPc(-3)]^{2-}$	$1.25 - 4.5$ $7,4-13$	$-0.054(0.006)$ $-0.056(0.002)$	$-0.41(0.015)$ $-0.64(0.01)$	0.988 0.997	
$O_2 \rightarrow H_2O_2$	$1.6 - 4$ $5 - 13$	$-0.033(0.005)$ Ωb	$-0.25(0.012)$	0.950	b
$H_2O_2 \rightarrow H_2O$ $[Fe^{III}CRPc(-2)]^{+}/Fe^{II}CRPc(-2)$	$1.6 - 7$ $0.9 - 5$	$-0.110(0.004)$	$-0.62(0.008)$ $+0.18$	0.998	
$Fe^{II}CRPc(-2)/[Fe^{I}CRPc(-2)]^{-}$	$7 - 13$ $1 - 5$	$-0.054(0.001)$ $-0.089(0.005)^c$	$+0.45(0.007)$ $-0.12(0.016)$	0.999 0.994	
oxygen reduction	1–4 $8 - 13$	$-0.049(0.007)$ $-0.034(0.005)$	$+0.17(0.017)$ $+0.12(0.020)$	0.952 0.953	

*⁰*Least-squares analysis using number of points as indicated and with regression coefficient as indicated. * Assumed zero slope; in fact a least-squares analysis would yield a very small negative slope. Comission of the point at $pH = 7$ provides a better correlation with a slope of -0.069 V/pH.

Figure 4. pH dependencies of (A) $[Fe^{III}CRPc(-2)]^{+}/Fe^{II}CRPc(-2)$ at HOPG, (B) oxygen reduction potentials at Fe¹¹CRPc/HOPG from CV peak potentials, and (C) $Fe^{II}CRPc(-2)/[Fe^{I}CRPc(-2)]$ ⁻ couples at HOPG.

Figure **5B** shows the *i-E* responses for the first oxygen reduction wave obtained as $Co^HCRPc(-2)/HOPG$ rotating disk electrode (RDE) at several pH values. Multiple potential scans of the same surface give good reproducible data. The onset of oxygen reduction and the limiting current depend upon the solution pH. However, this last observation is due to the difference of solubility of oxygen: greater in basic than in acidic solution. The number **of** electrons, *n,* involved in the reaction was calculated from the Levich equation:^{13,18,22}

$$
i_1 = 0.62 nFA\omega^{1/2}C_{ox}D_0^{2/3}\nu^{-1/6}
$$
 (1)

Here C_{ox} is the bulk oxygen concentration, D_0 is its diffusion coefficient, ν is the kinematic viscosity, and the other symbols have their usual electrochemical meanings. A value of C_{ox} - $D_0^{2/3}v^{-1/6} = 1.77 \times 10^{-9}$ was derived via calibration with a platinum electrode (assuming a well-behaved 4-electron reduction process at Pt)²³ and led to an average experimental value of 1.99 ± 0.03 electrons, confirming that oxygen is reduced to H_2O_2 .

RDE data show (Figure 5C) linear dependence of *il* **on** the square root **of** the rotation rate indicating a fast diffusion-driven reaction. Note the rising current (Figure 5B), beyond the plateau, at more negative potentials corresponding to the ensuing reduction of H_2O_2 and especially noticeable at lower pH. The data were

Figure 5. (A) CV curves for oxygen reduction at Co^{II}CRPc/HOPG (scan rate 100 mV/s). (B) RDE responses for oxygen reduction at Co¹¹-CRPc/HOPG at various pH values (scan rate 10 mV/s). (C) RDE responses for oxygen reduction at ColICRPc/HOPG at various rotation speeds (pH 13, scan rate 10 mV/s). (D) Koutecky-Levich plots constructed from the plateau current in (D). (E) Tafel type plots from RDE data at Co^{li}CRPc/HOPG for the first oxygen reduction process.

analyzed by plotting them as i^{-1} vs $\omega^{-1/2}$ (Figure 5D) (Koutecky-Levich plots^{13,22}). The line is almost perfectly straight, indicating first-order dependence of the overall reaction **on** oxygen concentration. The intercept is indistinguishable from zero within experimental error indicating a very fast reaction rate. Figure **5E** shows a Tafel type plot from RDE data, for the first reduction process, at several representative pHs. The slope of the plot changes slightly in the pH range of 1.6 to *5* from -1 16 to -130 mV/decade, but above pH **5** this value remains constant (-1 30 mV/decade). This may be indicative of an irreversible (non-Nernstian) process possibly with a one-electron rate-determining step. Curve A in Figure 3 represents the pH dependence of the half-wave potential, $E_{1/2}$, for oxygen reduction at Co^{II}CRPc- $(-2)/HOPG$. $E_{1/2}$ for oxygen reduction is always more positive than the Co^{II}/Co^I process. $E_{1/2}$ for oxygen reduction is always more positive than the Co^{II}/Co^I process (Figure 3, curve B) but with a much shallower pH dependence, -33 mV/pH, in the pH regime 1-4.

The pH dependence for reduction of hydrogen peroxide to water at a $Co^HCRPc(-2)/HOPG$ electrode is shown in Figure 3D. It differs substantially from that of oxygen reduction at $Co^HCRPc(-2)/HOPG$, shown in Figure 3A. There are three

⁽²²⁾ Levich, **V.** *G. Physicochemical Hydrodynamics;* Prentice-Hall: **En**glewoad Cliffs, NJ, **1962.** Koutecky, J.; Levich, V. C. Zh. *Fiz. Khim.* **1956,** *32,* **1565.**

⁽²³⁾ Koryta, J.; Dvorak, J. *Principles of Elecfrochemisrry;* John Wiley and **Sons:** New York, **1987;** p 343.

5176 *Inorganic Chemistry, Vol. 31, No. 25, 1992*

regions of differing slope showing that the number of protons involved in the process varies as the mechanism varies from low to medium to high pH. At low pH, the slope is -110 mV/pH . Near neutral pH, the data are more scattered but the slope is evidently zero or small. Unfortunately it was difficult to collect data for this process at high pH since the process occurs very close to the reduction of water.

(b) Mechanism of Oxygen and Hydrogen Peroxide Reduction by Co^{II}CRPc(-2). It is tempting to assume that the active catalyst for oxygen reduction is the $[CoⁱCRPc(-2)]$ species since the pH dependence of oxygen reduction parallels that of the Co^{II}- $Pc(-2)/[Co¹Pc(-2)]$ couple, at slightly more positive potentials. Indeed with a simplified assumption of reversibility, and ideal behavior of the phthalocyanine catalyst, one may calculate a rate constant for oxygen reduction,²⁴ using

$$
k = (D_0/\Gamma_{\text{CoCRPc}}\delta) \exp[(F/RT)(E_{1/2} - E^{\circ})]
$$
 (2)

where **6** is the thickness of the diffusion layer at the RDE (and $\delta = 4.98 D_0^{1/3} v^{1/6} \omega^{1/2}$,^{24c} Γ_{CoCRPc} is the surface concentration of the catalyzer, $E_{1/2}$ is the half-wave potential for oxygen reduction, and E° is the redox potential for the Co¹¹CRPc(-2)/[Co¹- $CRPc(-2)$ ⁻ redox couple. The values $(T = 20 °C)D_0 = 1.7 \times$ 10^{-5} cm²/s, $\Gamma = 4.2 \times 10^{-11}$ mol/cm², rotation rate $\omega = 42$ rads/s, and kinematic viscosity $\nu = 10^{-2}$ cm²/s and the value of $E_{1/2}$ - E° = ca. 300 mV, from the data, yield $k =$ ca. 10¹⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ in basic medium. However, this does not prove the catalytic participation of $CoⁱPc(-2)$. Recently we have discussed the electrocatalytic reduction of oxygen by perchlorinated cobalt phthalocyanine $(CoCl_{16}Pc(-2))$.²⁵ In this case, oxygen reduction parallels the $Co^HPc(-2)/[Co^HPc(-2)]$ couple in acid medium but not in alkaline medium. **In** strong alkali, oxygen reduction occurs at some 400-600 mV more positive a potential than the $Co¹¹Pc(-2)/[Co¹Pc(-2)]$ couple. If $[Co¹C]₁₆Pc(-2)]$ were the active species, oxygen reduction would have to occur at an unrealistically high rate (following the above analysis). Therefore, we proposed that the active species was $Co^HCl₁₆Pc(-2)$. It is reasonable to suppose that $Co^HCRPc(-2)$ is the active catalyst

here and that an intermediate oxygen adduct is involved:^{13,25}

$$
CoHPc(-2) + O_2 \leftrightarrow (O_2^-)CoHPc(-2)
$$
(3)

In (3), the most simple oxygen adduct is portrayed, **on** the basis of cobalt(II1) dioxygen chemistry.26 A binuclear peroxo-bridged species might also be invoked, though, in the surface environment, a mononuclear species is perhaps more readily conceived. The argument remains substantially equivalent if a mononuclear or a binuclear oxygen adduct is assumed. The equilibrium (3) is strongly driven to the left and therefore oxygen reduction is not generally observed at, or close to, the $[C_0^{III}Pc(-2)]^+ / C_0^{III}Pc(-2)$ couple potential, nor are oxygen adducts seen when $Co^HPc(-2)$ solutions are mixed with oxygen. However, oxygen adducts have been observed at low temperatures, with CoPc, proving that equilibria such as (3) do occur.²⁷

The data are consistent with the supposition that reduction of the oxygen adduct in **(3)** occurs at the potential corresponding experimentally with the observed catalytic oxygen reduction process. Removal of the oxygen adduct via this reduction process then drives the equilibrium (3) to the right. The pH dependence

Figure 6. (A) CV diagrams at Fe^{II}CRPc/HOPG under argon (curve a) and in oxygen-saturated solution (curve b) at pH 8.9. Dotted lines indicate responses at bare HOPG (scan rate 100 mV/s). (B) RDE responses at Fe^{II}CRPc/HOPG in oxygen-saturated solution at pH 9.2 (scan rate 10) mV/s).

follows the Co^{11}/Co^{1} couple in the acid regime for two possible reasons: (i) protonation of the peroxo bridge likely occurs and (ii) the $[Co¹CRPc(-2)]$ species is air (oxygen) sensitive so that it would also catalyze oxygen reduction at a potential close to the Co^H/Co^I process as $[Co^ICRPc(-2)]$ begins to build up. The involvement of an analogous Co^H porphyrin as the catalytically active species has also recently been suggested by Anson and co-workers.28

The reduction of hydrogen peroxide occurs at a potential somewhat negative of that for the next phthalocyanine reduction couple, $[Co^IPc(-2)]^{-}/[Co^IPc(-3)]^{2}$, which was tracked as a function of pH in a parallel study of CoTsPc¹⁹ (Figure 3C). Thus, the species $[Co^ICRPc(-3)]²⁻$ will be the effective catalyst in peroxide reduction, probably via a complex similar to that described in (3).

This last couple is more accurately portrayed as HCo^IPc- $(-2)/H_2Co^tPc(-3)$, in acid medium, where protonation (probably at a bridgehead aza link but conceivably also at the metal center) has occurred and where the number of protons is dictated by consideration of the pH dependences of the $Co^H/Co^H, Co^H/Co^I$, and $Co^IPc(-2)/Co^IPc(-3)$ couples shown in Figure 3. Assuming Co^HPc is not protonated, then the degree of protonation indicated above follows. In basic medium, $[Co^ICRPc(-2)]$ will then be unprotonated, while $[HCo^{I}CRPc(-3)]$ ⁻ will retain one proton. Thus, the reduction of hydrogen peroxide will be catalyzed by these protonated $[Co^{1}Pc(-3)]^{2}$ species. Presentation of a detailed mechanism awaits further study. However, note that mechanisms have been invoked to include coordination of $HO₂$ to a reduced cobalt phthalocyanine, followed by bond breaking and generation of a hydroxide radical attached to cobalt, which is then immediately reduced to coordinated hydroxide anion.³ⁱ

(c) Voltammetric Response of FeWRPc(-Z)/HOPC, At low pH, oxygen reduction starts (Figure 4B) slightly negative of the potential of the [**Fe1WRPc(-2)]+/Fe1ICRPc(-2)** reduction couple (also see Figure 6A, curves a and b), strongly suggesting the participation of $Fe^{II}CRPc(-2)$ in the catalytic reduction process (Table 111). The pH dependence for oxygen reduction (Figure 4B) roughly tracks that of the $[Fe^{III}CRPc(-2)]^{+}/Fe^{II}CRPc(-2)$ couple (Figure 4A). The separation between the $[Fe^{III}CRPc (-2)$]⁺/Fe^{II}CRPc(-2) process and the oxygen reduction process is proportional to the rate of oxygen reduction at the modified surface.²⁴ Thus, consideration of Figure 4 shows that the rate

⁽²⁴⁾ (a) Oyama, **N.;** Oki, N.; Ohno, **H.;** Ohnuki, *Y.;* Matsuda, **H.;** Tsuchida, E. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1983,87,3642.** (b) Andrieux, C. P.; Saveant, J. **M.** *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **1982,** *134,* **163; 1982,** *142,* **1.** (c) Andrieux, C. **P.;** Dumas-Barchiat, **J.** M.; Saveant, J. M. *J. Elecfroanal. Chem.* **1982,** *131,* **1.**

⁽²⁵⁾ Golovin, M. N.; Seymour, P.; Jayaraj. K.; **Fu, Y.** S.; Lever, A. B. P. *Inorg. Chem.* **1990,** *29,* **1719.**

⁽²⁶⁾ Cotton. **F.** A.; Wilkinson, G. *Modern Inorganic Chemistry,* 5th ed.; John Wiley: **New** York, *1987.*

⁽²⁷⁾ Cariati, **F.;** Gallizzioli, D.; Morazzoni, **F.;** Busetto, C. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalron Trans.* **1975, 556.** Cariati, **F.;** Morazzoni, **F.;** Busetto. C. *J. Chem. SOC., Dalton Trans.* **1976, 496.**

⁽²⁸⁾ Ni. C.-L.; Anson. **F.** C. *Inorg. Chem.* **1985,** *24,* **4754.**

Table **111.** Redox Potentials for FeIlCRPc Surface Potentials under Argon and Under Oxygen **vs** SCE"

	$[Fe^{III}CRPc(-2)]^{+}/$ $Fe^{II}CRPc(-2)$ /		FellCRPc(-2) $O2$ redn		
pН	Fe ¹¹ CRPc(-2) $E_{1/2}$	$[Fe^{1}CRPc(-2)]^{-}E_{1/2}$	pН	$E_{\rm p}$	
0.9	0.16	-0.21	1.0	0.13	
1.3	0.21	-0.23	1.3	0.08	
2.2	0.17	-0.34	1.3	0.13	
3.5	0.15	-0.43	2.0	0.07	
4.0	0.19	-0.46	2.7	0.03	
4.6	0.15	-0.54	3.3	0.02	
3.5	0.00		3.5	0.00	
7.0	0.08		4.0	0.00	
4.6	0.00		4.6	0.00	
5.5	-0.03		5.5	-0.30	
7.0	-0.06		7.0	-0.06	
8.9	-0.03		7.9	-0.07	
10.3	-0.11		8.3	-0.13	
12.0	-0.20		8.3	-0.13	
13.0	-0.24		8.9	-0.20	
9.3	-0.22		9.3	-0.22	
10.3	-0.22		10.3	-0.22	
11.1	-0.26		11.1	-0.26	
12.0	-0.28		12.0	-0.28	
			13.0	-0.32	

*^a***See** footnote *a* in Table I.

increases between about pH 3 and **7** and then is approximately independent of pH.

The $i-E$ responses recorded at the Fe^{II}CRPc(-2)/HOPG RDE in pure oxygen saturated solution **(pH** 9.2) (Figure **6B)** were analyzed by the conventional Koutecky-Levich²² approach. The data are similar to those observed with FeTsPc.⁶ⁱ A Levich plot (not shown) of i_1 vs $\omega^{1/2}$ from these curves is linear with the regression coefficient 0.999. An average value of *n* of 3.83 was obtained from the Levich equation (eq 1 above). The currents at the maxima then correspond to pure diffusion control for a four-electron reduction. The pH dependence for oxygen reduction (Figure 4B) is remarkably similar to that for $H₂O₂$ reduction catalyzed by CoCRPc (Figure 3D), suggesting that reduction may follow a similar mechanism at least insofar as proton dependence is concerned.

Thus, as for several other iron phthalocyanines,^{4,6i,j} Fe^{II}CRPc-**(-2)** catalyzes the overall four-electron reduction in alkaline solution (in acidic solution, the catalytic current is smaller both in CV and RDE $i-E$ diagrams).

Concluding Remarks

The crown phthalocyanine iron and cobalt species presents reproducible and well-behaved oxygen and hydrogen peroxide reduction data. In this preliminary report, we present an overview of these properties.

The excellent pH dependence data reported here, and the high stability of the surface, suggest that the CoCrPc system, in. particular (the FeCRPc surface is less stable), could provide much more detailed mechanistic information concerning the catalytic processes involving the reduction of oxygen, hydrogen peroxide and indeed protons. The pH studies reported here may only be interpreted in rather simple terms in the absence of a more definitive study of the various steps which participate in what is likelya complex mechanism. However, the study would be worthy of expansion to other crown phthalocyanine species such as those with manganese, rhodium, and iridium. Studies involving the oxygen reduction of cobalt and iron crown phthalocyanine species as a function of the cation contained with the crown residue are in progress.

Acknowledgment. We are indebted to the National Sciences and Engineering Research Council (Ottawa) and the Office of Naval Research (Washington, D.C.) for financial support. We are also pleased to acknowledge the technical assistance of Penny Seymour. We are indebted to Union Carbide (Parma, OH) for the supply of HOPG.